



“And the award for best sound effects goes to ...”

ACOUSTICS GET A STARRING ROLE IN THE DESIGN OF A NEW PERFORMING ARTS CENTER

By Josephine Minutillo

Acoustic surface treatments and baffles may work to improve the quality of sound transmission in ordinary spaces, but to create world-class performance venues where the need for pristine acoustics is critical, every aspect of the design—from formal and spatial considerations to structural and mechanical needs—are closely examined by a team of architects, engineers, and acousticians. The Curtis R. Priem Experimental Media and Performing Arts Center (EMPAC) at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI) contains four distinct performance and recording spaces, each requiring first-rate acoustics—a challenge for any single building to accommodate, but one made even more daunting given this building’s dramatic siting in a hillside.

Grimshaw Architects was charged with designing this complicated structure, their first performing arts building, after winning an invited competition in 2001. Partnering with Buro Happold Consulting Engineers and architect-of-record Davis Brody Bond Aedas,




CONTINUING EDUCATION

Use the following learning objectives to focus your study while reading this month’s *ARCHITECTURAL RECORD*/AIA Continuing Education article. To earn one AIA learning unit, including one hour of health, safety, and welfare credit, turn to page 107 and follow the instructions.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

After reading this article, you should be able to:

1. Recognize and define diverse acoustical terminology.
2. Explain how acoustical concerns affected the structural and mechanical design of EMPAC.
3. Describe various treatments used to improve sound quality.



Penetrating the building's west facade (opposite), the wood hull of the concert hall is the most prominent feature of EMPAC's exterior. Several bridges provide access to its interior (below).

1. Concert hall
2. Theater
3. Studio 1
4. Studio 2

Grimshaw's New York office had to roll with the punches as EMPAC's program evolved into a 220,000-square-foot building that includes a 1,200-seat concert hall, a 400-seat theater with a full fly tower, two black-box studios for experimental media, artist-in-residence studios, a dance studio, audiovisual production suites, and support facilities. According to RPI president Shirley Ann Jackson, "EMPAC is an extraordinary venue where science, research, and performance meet."


Grimshaw arranged the concert hall and atrium axially with the main entrance in a linear sequence on the north side of the building, while the studios and theater form an adjacent sequence on the south. The main programmatic space is the most visible. Encased in a wooden hull, the floating ovoid of the concert hall penetrates the building's west facade overlooking the small town of Troy, New York. Hidden behind the cedar planks—arranged in a decorative chevron pattern—is a sophisticated steel framework which, among other things, provides support for access bridges to the concert hall. The bridges rest on acoustic isolation barriers.

Inside, the concert hall takes on a shoebox shape, the long walls of its concrete inner shell slightly curved to avoid cross-room flutter (successive, repetitive sound reflections that bounce off parallel walls). While much thinner dimensions would have sufficed for structural purposes, the slabs of the concrete shell average 14 inches in thickness to provide superior isolation from exterior noise. Affixed to the inside surfaces of the upper walls is an array of gently convex and concave-shaped cast-concrete panels, bringing the overall thickness of the walls to 22 inches in some locations. These panels, along with ravioli-shaped, glass-fiber-reinforced gypsum panels in the gallery level (where the curvature is most pronounced), and wiggle-shaped maple strips on the lower walls, all help to diffuse sound throughout the concert hall, aided by the overall convex shape of the auditorium. The interior shaping of the surfaces, and inclusion of sound-reflecting panels, combine to control tone and liveness.


The surface of the concrete panels, which contain pulverized limestone, has a very fine porosity, carefully chosen and analyzed to provide some high-frequency absorption in addition to the sound diffusion created by its geometric shaping. "Musicians love concert halls where they can hear themselves really well, where it doesn't get overly loud, and where there are no confusing reflections," explains Larry Kirkeg-



Variouly shaped cast-concrete, gypsum, and wood panels; a fabric ceiling; and custom-designed seats aid the acoustics in the concert hall (right). The shoebox-shaped volume is separated from the mechanical



room below by a plenum (right). Conditioned air rises from the plenum through grilles that are located at each seat (above), an efficient and quieter option than pushing air through an overhead system.



1. Concert hall
2. Air-supply plenum
3. Mechanical room

aard, Hon. AIA, president of Chicago-based Kirkegaard Associates, the acousticians for EMPAC. “Sound should come back to the stage as a warm hug, not as a Heimlich maneuver.” Selected for its fire-retardant attributes, the tightly woven Nomex fabric of the ceiling panels allows performers to hear themselves and each other through a balanced reflection of middle and high frequencies. To achieve the desired warmth and presence of acoustic reflections, the custom-engineered

FOR THE MUSICIANS, SOUND SHOULD COME BACK TO THE STAGE AS A WARM HUG, NOT AS A HEIMLICH MANEUVER.”


ceiling also lets lower frequencies partially flow through it. Warmth has a clearly observable effect on orchestral timbre—the quality of sound that distinguishes one instrument from another.

With all the measures taken to ensure optimum acoustics within the concert hall, the last thing anyone wanted to do was introduce noisy HVAC equipment into the space. Therefore, the auditorium is cooled through underfloor air distribution. Fresh air slowly and silently


rises through grilles distributed throughout the floor from a large, pressurized plenum below the stage and audience. Each of the fixed audience seats—custom designed by Grimshaw with Billings Jackson to optimize ergonomics and acoustics—features a diffuser below it, barring instances where structural elements under the floor get in the way.

This type of displacement ventilation is becoming the standard for state-of-the-art performance venues, not only because of the lower noise levels, but because of issues of audience comfort (no drafts) and sustainability (inherent energy savings). “The whole problem with delivering air from the ceiling level in large, high spaces like these is that you have to deliver it at much higher velocities to get past the hot zone of the lighting and down into the occupied area,” explains Denzil Gallagher, head of Buro Happold’s m/e/p project team.

Gallagher was also responsible for keeping the noise down in the mechanical room, which occupies a three-story space directly below the concert hall. “It’s a risky location because of the possibility of noise transfer,” he says. “But the plenum acts as an acoustic barrier.” Moreover, a number of efforts were made to keep the mechanical room as quiet as possible. One reason for the room’s huge volume is the sheer



The wire grid ceiling over the studios provides a walkable surface and structure from which to hang banners, diffusers, and 360-degree screens for immersive performances (left). Computer-milled acoustical panels in the studios have random, pocked surfaces (above) and are lined up along the studio walls (below left). Live sound testing is performed on a mock-up of the panels (below).



PHOTOGRAPHY: © CHUCK CHOI (OPPOSITE, TOP); COURTESY KIRKEGAARD ASSOCIATES (THIS PAGE AND OPPOSITE, LEFT, IMAGE, OPPOSITE, BOTTOM)

size of the equipment housed there. Ducts and ventilation shafts are three to four times bigger than in conventional buildings so that air moves at slower velocities within them.

The engineers were able to save some space, however, with a relatively new technology that they specified in a project for the first time with EMPAC. Rather than using an air-handling unit with a large, centrifugal fan, they opted for a Fanwall system that uses a series of small, direct-drive fans. But more than the space-saving benefits, the system achieves 20 to 30 percent noise reduction, especially at low frequencies, which are typically very difficult to eliminate. (High-frequency noises are treated more simply with sound traps—duct liner, for instance.) Flexible pipe connectors in the mechanical room—and similar measures at various building joints—prevent vibration from entering into other spaces, walls, or floor systems. The sum of these efforts prevents cross-talk, breakout-noise, and plant-noise transmission.

The mechanical room, like the concert hall, is constructed as a “box-in-box.” A steel frame covered in several layers of gypsum board surrounds the room’s enclosed space, yet does not touch any of its columns, or the slab above (closest to the concert hall). In this way, all the


equipment contained within it is further isolated from the concert hall.

EMPAC is in effect a series of structurally isolated buildings, due in large part to the need for acoustic isolation during simultaneous performances. Nowhere is this more evident than in the two black-box studios. The larger of the two, called Studio 1, is fully base-isolated, an extreme measure used mainly in earthquake-prone areas or for sensitive laboratories. “Typically, this type of space would employ just a jack-up slab for the floor,” admits Craig Schwitter, who led Buro Happold’s structural design. “Here, all of the slabs, including the 12-inch-thick floor slab and the 60-foot-high walls, were poured and then lifted up on springs. Studio 1 is truly a floating building within a building.”

Studio 2, on the other hand, sits on completely separate foundations from the rest of the building. “There was concern about noise literally traveling down into the ground and cross-talking between concrete beams,” says Schwitter. “Above Studio 2 are additional spaces that span over the studio, but don’t rest on it.” These structural gymnastics were further complicated by New York State’s adoption of the International Building Code in 2002, which dictated that the building address seismic issues. Because of the sloping hillside into which EMPAC

Studio 1 is fully base-isolated. A series of springs (top right) is cast into the poured-concrete floor slab (below). The foundations for Studio 2 are physically separate from the rest of the building. Flexible pipe connectors in the mechanical room prevent vibration from entering into other spaces, walls, or floor systems (bottom right).

1. Theater
2. Studio 1
3. Studio 2



is embedded, it was placed in a higher seismic category than is typical for the region. As each element of the building is a unique structure, individual venues had differing drift characteristics. All movements were coordinated with up to 4-inch joints in many areas.

Beyond the studios' unique structural aspects were their highly specialized interior requirements. Studio 1 is optimized for scientific visualization, multiscreen and immersive performances, and dance; Studio 2 for music recitals and recordings. Acoustic requirements were stringent for both. Each studio's walls are lined with a series of specially developed gypsum acoustic panels, arranged as a grid, which reflect and scatter sound. The panels in Studio 1 feature a perforated metal surface backed with absorptive material. Inspired by the bark of a tree, the panels are computer-milled to contain random, pocked surfaces. "Sound waves interfere with each other when they experience repetitive surfaces," says Kirkegaard.

Each time a traveling sound wave bounces back from a room surface, its strength is weakened by the sound absorption of that surface. The time it takes for a loud sound to decay to inaudibility after being cut off is called the reverberation time (RT). According to

Kirkegaard, the RT in Studio 1 prior to installing the acoustic panels (and the resonant absorbers behind them) was between 7 and 10 seconds—quite long. After installation of these critical elements, the RT was reduced to less than a second. (By contrast, the RT in the concert hall is closer to 2 seconds.)

Both studios feature a wire grid ceiling that provides a walkable surface upon which technicians can work to adjust the room to fit

THERE WAS CONCERN ABOUT NOISE LITERALLY TRAVELING DOWN INTO THE GROUND AND CROSS-TALKING BETWEEN CONCRETE BEAMS."

the needs of individual performances. Banners, diffusers, and screens can be hung from this surface for use in video projections, three-dimensional presentations, and immersive environments.

"The studios were probably the most complicated spaces to design," Gallagher concedes. "The noise criteria was as strict for them as for the concert hall. Nowhere else in the world do you have a build-

ing with not one or two, but four world-class acoustic spaces.” The criteria Gallagher refers to are Room Criteria (RC), which measures the background noise in a space over a certain frequency range. While the average RC range for ordinary spaces like restaurants and even libraries is between 30 and 40, the requirements for all the spaces at EMPAC were RC15—in other words, quiet enough to hear a pin drop.

After Studios 1 and 2, the theater makes up the last major performance space in EMPAC’s southern block—which consists of a total of six independent structures linked by a spine. Smaller and less formal than the concert hall, the physical space of the theater is meant to recede as the stage lights come up. The theater can be used with or without its orchestra pit. Movable seating at the parterre level along the sides allows artists to configure the theater as proscenium space, or to extend the playing area along the sides of the audience. The major acoustical intervention involved making the winch equipment around the stage and within the 70-foot-tall fly tower as quiet as possible—achieved primarily through



one of the most advanced computer-controlled rigging systems in the world. Like the concert hall, the theater uses displacement ventilation.

Barely noticeable to the average audience member, ordinary filament lights produce a small amount of noise when dimming. This concern—among countless seemingly minor issues—was addressed in the theater and elsewhere by using a sound wave dimmer.

Operational since October, all reviews seem to indicate that the stringent acoustic levels demanded by the designers and client have been achieved. But while an extraordinary effort was put forth to make EMPAC as techni-

cally perfect as possible, its mission is something else entirely. “This building is not about the technology,” says EMPAC founding director Johannes Goebel. “It is all about the experience of people seeing, hearing, and moving inside it.” ■

For this story and more continuing education, as well as links to sources, white papers, and products, go to architecturalrecord.com/tech/.



AIA/ARCHITECTURAL RECORD CONTINUING EDUCATION

INSTRUCTIONS

- Read the article “And the award for best sound effects goes to ...” using the learning objectives provided.
- Complete the questions below, then fill in your answers on the next page.
- Fill out and submit the AIA/CES education reporting form on the next page or take the test online at continuingeducation.construction.com/ to receive one AIA learning unit.

QUESTIONS

- The slabs of the concert hall’s concrete inner shell average 14 inches in thickness for which reason?
 - to provide adequate structural support
 - to act as a superior acoustic barrier
 - both a and b
 - none of the above
- In the concert hall, the main purpose of each of the following elements is to diffuse sound except which?
 - cast-concrete panels
 - glass-reinforced gypsum panels
 - engineered ceiling fabric
 - wiggle-shaped wood strips
- The sound quality that distinguishes one instrument from another is which?
 - flutter
 - frequency
 - warmth
 - timbre
- Which is not an advantage of displacement ventilation in the concert hall?
 - greater audience comfort
 - lower ceiling height
 - energy savings
 - quiet distribution of air
- Of the following, which does not reduce noise?
 - air moving at low velocities
 - air moving at high velocities
 - duct liner
 - flexible building joints
- All of the following statements are true except which?
 - low-frequency noises are easier to reduce than high-frequency noises
 - flutter is created from sound bouncing off parallel walls
 - the plenum below the concert hall acts as an acoustic barrier
 - both the concert hall and the mechanical room are housed within a hidden steel framework
- To avoid cross-talking between beams, Studio 2’s structure is designed how?
 - with a jack-up slab
 - with a system of interior acoustic panels
 - on a completely separate foundation from the rest of the building
 - as a fully isolated concrete box that rests on springs
- Reverberation time measures which?
 - the absorptive rate of a surface
 - the number of times a sound wave is bounced off a surface
 - the frequency of a sound wave
 - the time it takes for a sound to decay to inaudibility
- In comparison to a room with an RC rating of 25, an RC15 space is which?
 - louder
 - quieter
 - grander
 - smaller
- The pattern on the acoustical panels in the studios is which?
 - botanical
 - chevron
 - random
 - a grid